APPENDIX C.

QUESTIONS TO WHICH PRINTED ANSWERS WERE FURNISHED BUT NOT REACHED FOR WANT OF TIME.

MR. SIDDEGOWDA (T.-Narasipur—Rural).—

Q.—4. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether a decision was arrived at in the Assembly Session of 1926 in the matter of constructing a bridge across the Cauvery near Bannur, T.-Narasipur taluk ;

(*b*) whether an assurance has been given that this bridge to the Cauvery river would be constructed after the completion of the construction of the bridge across the Shimsha ;

(*c*) whether estimates for constructing the bridge have been prepared and whether any action has been taken by the Chief Engineer in the matter of obtaining sanction to the estimates ;

(*d*) whether the income realisable according to the statistics furnished by the Bannur Municipality and the Revenue Department in response to the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, is adequate ;

(*e*) whether the Government will be pleased to satisfy the long-felt want of the people by constructing this bridge at least now in view of the existing favourable conditions ;

(*f*) whether Government are not aware that if this bridge is constructed, the trade and communications among the towns like Kankanhalli, Sivasamudram and Kollegal will be improved ?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. G. Mehkri).—

A.—(*a*) Only the resolution moved by the member was carried.

(*b*) No such assurance was held out.

(*c*) Yes ; alternative estimates for Rs. 2,08,000 and Rs. 2,49,003 are under scrutiny in the Public Works Department.

(*d*) The Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that the net realisation from tolls might be over Rs. 13,000 per annum, *i.e.*, about 5 to 6 per cent of the estimated outlay.

(*e*) In view of the difficulties for getting steel and the general increase in price of materials, the conditions now obtaining are far from being favourable.

(*f*) Yes. But Sivasamudram and Kollegal are even now linked through the Cauvery bridge at T.-Narasipur.

MR. T. GURUSANTAPPA (Shikarpur—Rural).—

Q.—7. Will the Government be pleased to state : —

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that the population in the malnad parts of the Shimoga district specially in the taluk of Shikarpur and Sorab, is gradually decreasing and that in the case of the Shikarpur taluk the figure is reduced by more than 9,000 and in the case of Sorab it is over four thousand, according to the Census figures from 1881 to 1941, whereas the population in all the other places in the State is increasing ;

(*b*) the reason for such decrease in the population annually ;

(*c*) whether it is possible to state how many villages have been depopulated in that district ever since the last Census operation ;

(*d*) the action taken by Government to prevent this ?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. V. Vedavyasacharya).—

A.—(*a*) Yes. Statement appended. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*b*) The reason for the decrease is excess of morbidity and mortality from malaria.

(*c*) Information is not available.

(*d*) Proposals submitted to Government are under consideration.

MR. R. DEVARAKONDAPPA (Anekal—Urban).—

Q.—9. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that the salary of each Member of the Viceroy's Executive Council was recently reduced from Rs. 80,000 to Rs. 60,000 a year ;

(*b*) whether it is contemplated to reduce the salaries of the Dewan and the Ministers in the Mysore Government ?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (*Rajasevaprasakta* B. T. Kesaviengar).—

A.—(*a*) Government have seen a news-paper report fixing a salary of Rs. 66,000 a year to each of the Members of the Viceroy's Executive Council.

(*b*) No.

MR. V. L. CHAMEGOWDA (Kankanhalli —Rural).—

Q.—11. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) how many village panchayets in the State have taken electric street lights ;

(*b*) in respect of how many panchayets the period of guarantee has expired (names of taluks, villages to be furnished) ;

(*c*) how many village panchayets whose guaranteed period is over are saying that they do not need the lights ;

(*d*) in how many cases the income derived from the private connections has exceeded the amount guaranteed by the panchayet in respeect of street lights (villagewar particulars to be furnished) ?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT (Mr. H. V. Narayana Rao).—

A.—(*a*) 158.

(*b*) Three.—

(1) Bidarguppe ... Anekal Taluk.
(2) Kadakola ... Nanjangud Taluk.
(3) Kodiyala ... Mandya Taluk.

(*c*) None.

(*d*) Sixty-one villages as detailed in the list appended.

MR. M. A. RAMEGOWDA (Mudgere—Rural).—

Q.—13. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the population of the Malnad area according to the Census figures of 1931 and 1941 (population of males, females and children to be furnished separately) ;